

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,451	HOPPER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ha T. Nguyen	2812	

All Participants:

(1) Ha T. Nguyen.

(2) Mr. Mark C. Pickering (Reg. No. 36,239).

Status of Application: To be allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
39 and 43

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Pickering to propose an examiner's amendment that would put the claims in conditions for allowance (see examiner's amendment). Mr. Pickering authorized the amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)